

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/708,912		03/31/2004	Kiran V. Chatty	BUR920030180US1	2911	
29154	7590	12/13/2005		EXAMINER		
FREDERIC	KW.G	IBB, III	PHAM, THANHHA S			
			LAW FIRM, LLC	ADTIBUT	DA DED AUDADED	
2568-A RIV	A ROAD	)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 304			2813			
ANNAPOLI	S, MD	21401				

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					N	/				
		Application No	·.	Applicant(s)	- J					
		10/708,912		CHATTY ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Thanhha Pham	·	2813						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cov	er sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress					
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAIS nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS C 36(a). In no event, how will apply and will expire, cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time e SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	Bly filed the mailing date of this on (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status										
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 June 2005</u> .									
2a)	· —									
3)∟	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	:х рапе Quayie,	1935 C.D. 11, 45.	3 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims									
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.									
🗔	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>17-20</u> is/are allowed.									
	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.									
•	Claim(s) <u>14-16</u> is/are objected to.									
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requir	ement.							
Applicat	ion Papers									
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.								
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 March 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if t	he drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note th	e attached Office	Action or form P1	「O-152.					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	·(d) or (f).						
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents I	nave been receive	d in this National	Stage					
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).							
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified	copies not received	<b>d</b> .						
			,							
Attachmen		<b>4</b> \	Interview Summary (	DTO_413\						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∟	_ Paper No(s)/Mail Dat							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/14/2005.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:										

Application/Control Number: 10/708,912 Page 2

Art Unit: 2813

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Oath/Declaration

1. Oath/Declaration filed on 03/31/2004 has been considered.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 4 is objected to because of informalities.

Line 3, "said second edge" should be changed to --a second edge-- for clarifying the scope of the claim

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krivokapic [US 6,294,412].
- ▶ With respect to claim 1, Krivokapic (figs. 12-22, cols. 5-7) discloses a method of forming a non-gated silicon on insulator diode in a semiconductor substrate (60, 64, 70), the substrate including a plurality of isolation regions (72) formed therein, said method comprising:

forming a first structure (83, 92) on an upper surface of said substrate in a region between at least one pair of said isolation regions (see fig. 14);

forming a first region (94) of a first dopant type in said substrate, said first region comprising a first edge aligned to a first edge of said first structure (see fig. 16); and removing said first structure (83, 92) (see fig. 22).

- ▶ With respect to claim 2, Krivokapic (fig. 16) discloses forming a second region (94) of a second dopant type in said substrate, the second region comprising a second edge aligned to a second edge of said first structure. \*\*Notice: as interpreting the claim in a broad scope, the first dopant type can also be the same as the second dopant type because the claim does not distinct the first and second dopant type.
- ▶ With respect to claims 5-6, Krivokapic (col. 6, lines 45-46) discloses that first structure comprises a hard mask of silicon nitride layer (83).
- ▶ With respect to claim 7, Krivokapic (col. 6, lines 45-46) discloses that first structure comprises a gate (83).
- ▶ With respect to claim 8, Krivokapic (fig. 14) discloses that first structure comprises insulating spacers (92).
- ▶ With respect to claim 9, Krivokapic (fig. 19) discloses that wherein in said removing step, said spacers (92) remain on said substrate.
- ▶ With respect to claim 10, Krivokapic (figs. 12-22, cols. 5-7) discloses a method of forming a self-aligned SOI diode, said method comprising:

depositing a protective structure (92) on an upper surface of said substrate in a region between at least one pair of said isolation regions (see fig. 14);

Application/Control Number: 10/708,912

Art Unit: 2813

implanting a plurality of diffusion regions of variable dopant types in an area between at least one pair of isolation regions in substrate (figs 15-21), said plurality of diffusion regions separated by diode junction, wherein said implanting aligns an upper surface of said diode junction with said protective structure (92)

removing said protective structure (92) (see fig. 22).

▶ With respect to claim 12, Krivokapic (col. 6, lines 45-46) discloses that the protective structure comprises a hard mask (silicon oxide).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-4 and 11are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krivokapic [US 6,294,412] in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (fig. 1, text [0005]).
- ▶ With respect to claims 3-4, Krivokapic substantially discloses the claimed method except forming first and second silicide layer comprising first and second silicide edge aligned to said first and second edge of said first structure respectively. However, Applicant Admitted Prior Art discloses forming first and second silicide layer (35) comprising first and second silicide edge aligned to said first and second edge of said first structure (30, 40) respectively (fig. 1, text [0005]). Therefore, at the time of the

Application/Control Number: 10/708,912

Art Unit: 2813

invention, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art to modify process of Krivokapic by forming the first and second silicide layer on the first and second region as taught by Applicant Admitted to provide the known purpose of reducing contact resistance of the first and second region for the device.

Page 5

- With respect to claim 11, Krivokapic substantially discloses the claimed method except forming a silicide layer aligned with said protective structure. However, Applicant Admitted Prior Art discloses forming a silicide layer (35) aligned with said protective structure (30, 40, fig. 1, text [0005]). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art to modify process of Krivokapic by forming the silicide layer aligned with said protective structure as taught by Applicant Admitted to provide the known purpose of reducing contact resistance of the device.
- ▶ With respect to claim 13, Krivokapic substantially discloses said protective structure comprises a hard mask/sidewall spacer of silicon oxide. Krovokapic does not expressly teach said hard mask comprises silicon nitride. However, it has been known in the art that silicon nitride and silicon oxide are known materials for hard mask/sidewall spacer. Selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp., 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945) "Reading a list and selecting a known compound to meet known requirements is no more ingenious than selecting the last piece to put in the last opening in a jig-saw puzzle." 325 U.S. at 335, 65 USPQ at 301. See also In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960)

Application/Control Number: 10/708,912 Page 6

Art Unit: 2813

(selection of a known plastic to make a container of a type made of plastics prior to the invention was held to be obvious).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 17-20 allowed.
- 6. Claims 14-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
- ▶ Recorded Prior Art also fails to discloses or suggest combination of process steps of forming a self-aligned silicon over insulator diode as cited in claim 17 including: configuring a gate over said implant region; configuring a pair of sidewall spaces on sides of said gate; using said gate to define P+ and N+ contact regions in said implant region; removing said gate and using said sidewall spacers to align a silicide layer over said P+ and N+ contact regions.
- ▶ Recorded Prior Art fails to disclose or suggest the combination of the process steps as recited in the base claim 10 including wherein said protective structure comprises a polysilicon gate as characteristics in claim 14.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanhha Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/708,912 Page 7

Art Unit: 2813

1696. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Thursday 9:00AM - 9:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thanhha Pham Patent Examiner